

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trudemark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF FATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Wishington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
08/846,671	04/30/1997	KEI-YU KO	11675.114	9646		
22901	7590 01/18/2002	,				
JESUS JUANOS I TIMONEDA 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			. EXAMINER			
			GOUDREAU, GEORGE A			
SALT LAKI	ECITY, UT 84111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1763			
				DATE MAILED: 01/18/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. OS-84 CC Examiner	Applicant(s)	Group Art Unit				
	George (soudream 1	763				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover shee	et beneath the com	espondence ad	dress-			
Period for Reply	_						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(\$) F	ROM THE MAI	LING DATE			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuding Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	oly within the statutory expire SIX (6) MONTI- te, cause the applica	y minimum of thirty (30) on the mailing date tion to become ABANDO	days will be consid of this communica ONED (35 U.S.C. §	ered timely. ttion. 133).			
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on	to 11-01	1)(le,- f	apers.	# 20-22			
 This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	or formal matters, C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G.	prosecution as to 1 213.	the merits is cl	osed in			
			CU .C8				
Claim(s) 1-10, 12-14, 16-20, 24-5 Of the above claim(s)	38,40-4	is/are pren	ファファ ding in the appli ndrawn from con	cation.			
				Siderauori.			
1) Claim(s) 1-10, 12-14, 16-28, 24-38	340-4446	/	cted.				
□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
□ Claim(s)		are subject requireme	ct to restriction o ent	r election			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approv	ed 🗆 disapproved.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner							
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)							
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 11	9 (a)(d).					
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:							
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 							
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received							
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))							
*Certified copies not received:		, 		_•			
Attachment(s)							
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	12/22	☐ Interview Summar	y, PTO-413				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	,	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		_ •					
Office Action Summary							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. _____

Application/Control Number: 08/846,671

Art Unit: 1763

- 15. This action will not be made final due to the new grounds of rejection.
- 16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 17. Claims 1-10, 12-14, 16-20, 24-38, 40-44, 46, 50-52, and 54-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sadjadi et. al. (5,883,436).
 - Sadjadi et. al. disclose a process for forming a SAC between 2 gates on a CZ-Si wafer which is comprised of the following steps:
 - -Polysi gates (201) which have a SiO2 cap (209), and which are on top of a SiO2 pad layer are formed onto the surface of a CZ-Si wafer (100).;
 - -SiO2 sidewall spacers (204) are formed onto the sides of the polysi gates.;
 - -A ILD dielectric layer (205) which is comprised of any of BPSG, PSG, and BSG is used to planarize the surface of the wafer.;
 - -A patterned photo resist etch mask is formed onto the surface of the ILD (205).;
 - -A SAC (206) is etched through the ILD (205) down to the surface of the CZ-Si wafer while maintaining an etch selectivity relative to the SiO2 cap layer (209), and the SiO2 sidewall spacers (204) using a plasma which is comprised of CF4-CHF3-N2-Ar.

Application/Control Number: 08/846,671

Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

This is shown specifically in figures 2 a-2 e; and is shown in general in figures 1-3. This is discussed specifically in columns 5-7; and is discussed in general in columns 1-10. Sadjadi et. al. fail, however, to specifically disclose the following aspects of applicant's claimed invention:

-the specific usage of the type of plasma etcher which is claimed by the applicant;

-the specific formation of a conductive plug in the SAC formed between the gates on the wafer in the process taught above;

-the specific usage of a plasma comprised of either CH2F2 or CH3F to selectively etched the doped oxide layer to the undoped oxide layer in the process taught above;

-the specific formation of the gates on the wafer out of W polycide; and

-the specific etch process parameters which are claimed by the applicant

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to employ any of a variety of different type of plasma etchers in the etching process taught above including those which are specifically claimed by the applicant. The usage of these types of plasma etchers to conduct a plasma etching process is conventional or at least well known in the plasma etching arts. (The examiner takes official notice in this regard.) Further, the specific usage of these types of plasma etchers to conduct the etching process taught above would have simply involved the usage of alternative, and at least equivalent means for conducting the plasma etching process taught above to the usage of other means for conducting the plasma etching process.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to form a conductive plug in the SAC opening formed in the ILD layer in the region between adjacent gates in the process taught above

Application/Control Number: 08/846,671

Art Unit: 1763

based upon the following. The formation of a conductive plug in a SAC contact located between adjacent gates on a wafer is conventional or at least well known in the semiconductor processing arts. (The examiner takes official notice in this regard.) Further, it would have been desirable to provide some means for electrically connecting circuitry formed above the ILD layer in the process taught above to the SAC region of the wafer using a conductive material such as a plug in the SAC.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to employ a plasma comprised of either CH2F2 or CH3F to selectively etch the doped oxide layer to the undoped oxide layer in the process taught above based upon the following. The usage of a plasma comprised of either CH2F2 or CH3F to selectively etch a doped oxide layer to an undoped oxide layer is conventional or at least well known in the semiconductor processing arts. (The examiner takes official notice in this regard.) Further, the usage of a plasma comprised of either CH2F2 or CH3F to selectively etch a doped oxide layer to an undoped oxide layer in the process taught above simply represents the usage of an alternative, and at least equivalent means for conducting this etching step in the process taught above to those means which are specifically taught above.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to fabricate the gates on the wafer out of W polycide in the process taught above based upon the following. The formation of gates on a wafer out of W polycide is conventional or at least well known in the semiconductor processing arts. (The examiner takes official notice in this regard.) Further, the specific formation of gates on the semiconductor wafer in the process taught above out of W polycide simply represents the

Application/Control Number: 08/846,671 Page 5

Art Unit: 1763

usage of an alternative, and at least equivalent means for forming the gate on the semiconductor wafer in the process taught above to the usage of other means for forming the gate.

It would have been prima facie obvious to employ any of a variety of different etch process parameters in the etching processes taught above including those which are specifically claimed by the applicant. These are all well known variables in the plasma etching art which are known to effect both the rate and quality of the plasma etching process. Further, the selection of particular values for these variables would not necessitate any undo experimentation which would be indicative of a showing of unexpected results.

Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to employ the specific etch process parameters which are claimed by the applicant based upon <u>In re Aller</u> as cited below.

"Where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." <u>In re Aller</u>, 220 F. 2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA).

Further, all of the specific etch process parameters which are claimed by the applicant are result effective variables whose values are known to effect both the rate, and the quality of the plasma etching process.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims of record have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1763

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner George A. Goudreau whose telephone number is (703) -308-

1915. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Examiner Gregory Mills, can be reached on (703) -308-1633. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) -306-3186.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) -308-0661.

George A. Goudreau/gag

Primary Examiner

AU 1763